	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/609,504	WALFORST, HOWARD E.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason L Sherrill	2622
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sl	neet with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however i. a reply within the statutory minimu eriod will apply and will expire SIX tatute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (	03 July 2000	
	This action is non-final	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unconstitution of Claims	owance except for form	al matters, prosecution as to the merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		on
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	•	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been receive	d.
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
<ul> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a I</li> </ul>	riority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	been received in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) The translation of the foreign language   15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for doment(s)	provisional application I	nas been received.
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] 1	prious Cumpages (DTO 440) D. M. C.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:
O-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 2

Application/Control Number: 09/609,504

Art Unit: 2622

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-4 and 6, drawn to document communication and verification, classified in class 358, subclass 1.15.
  - II. Claim 5, drawn to a practice of distributing files, classified in class 705, subclass 51.
  - III Claim 7, drawn to a method of holding meetings and voting on propositions, classified in class 705, subclass 12.
- 2. Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III does not require the particulars of the subcombinations as claim in claim 7. The subcombinations have separate utility such as document verification and destruction for group I, and a document holder electronically providing a copy to a multiplicity of parties. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2622

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason L Sherrill whose telephone number is 703-306-4053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached on 703-305-4712. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5397 for regular communications and 703-306-5397 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER AND A

May 5, 2003

JLS